



Programme: FP7 Cooperation
Theme 2 Food, Agriculture, Fisheries and Biotechnologies

Deliverable 10

Design of impact measurement indicators for project

Project Acronym :	AQUAINNOVA
Project title :	Supporting governance and multi-stakeholder participation in aquaculture research and innovation
Grant agreement number :	245238 – FP7-KBBE-2009-3
Project coordinator :	European Aquaculture Technology & Innovation Platform



Deliverable 10 refers to the design of impact measurement indicators for project.

Workpackage 2 is tasked with managing knowledge within the project. In the development of the questionnaire (D6) for RTD coordinators we were looking to identify the type of knowledge developed in the previous FP5 & FP6 projects as well as in the current FP7 projects and to measure the impacts of those projects on the aquaculture sector.

The impacts identified were:

- 1) **Impact on the industry**, i.e. which commercial sector could benefit from the output of the project; examples were given to help coordinators to fill in the questionnaire, such as “it reduces malformations resulting in increase in profitability of hatcheries”
- 2) **Impact on the environment**, i.e. what impact (positive or negative) the output of the project has on the environment; examples were given, as “reduced energy costs, or increased efficiency...”
- 3) **Impact on Policy**, i.e. how the output of the project could influence policy making, examples were given, as “Improvement in animal welfare”
- 4) **Other impact**, does the output of the project have impact in other domains like Education, scientific community, other sectors outside aquaculture; example were given, as “education/training of hatchery staff; NGO perception of the sector”.

For the sake of a good methodology, coordinators of the projects were asked to identify the impacts (see above) of their project; but the questionnaire went further soliciting their general perception on the project and its follow up.

The following questions were thus addressed:

- 1) Were there any limiting factors that prevented you from achieving the intended impact of the project as a whole and as specified in the original description of work?
Examples were given as, methodology of project did not work, no budget for dissemination, lack of time for X activities etc.
- 2) Has there been any continuation of the work since the project funding ended? Yes or No
Examples were given as, New EC project, national work, commercialisation...
- 3) Do you still collaborate with any of the consortium from the past project?
If so, how? If no, why not?
Examples were given as, YES: keep in contact, working on other projects – NO: no funding, did not work out.

The last question posed to coordinators meant to enquire if they feel there is still the need for further research in the specific area of interest of their project, they were also asked to specify and justify the impact this follow up would have on the sector.

The answer to this last question is indeed of prime interest for the relevant thematic areas of the EATIP that could address the need in their Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda.

Knowledge and Innovation RTD Output Matrix

Project Name:

Project Number:

Coordinator

This Matrix is designed in two parts, for completion by the Project Coordinator, or best-placed partner .

Part 1 asks you to list the **main outputs** of your project that have potential impact on the sector and to describe that impact

Part 2 solicits your **general perceptions** on the project and its **follow up**

For each part, the **BLUE** cells give the heading, the **GREY** cells give more explanation and the **GREEN** cells provide examples to assist you

Part 1 The Outputs

Number	Output Title	Knowledge Type	Knowledge Type Other	Description	Impact on INDUSTRY	Impact on ENVIRONMENT	Impact on POLICY	OTHER Impact	Is the knowledge in the public domain? YES where? If NO, why not?	Did you carry out any Knowledge Transfer (KT)?
Please add as many as you like for any outputs of relevance to the sector	Give the output a title, be as specific as possible.	As you click on the cell, you'll see a drop down menu. Please select from this menu for each of your identified outputs. A definition of the output is provided at the bottom of the sheet to assist you	If you selected other, please provide a name for that type	A short description of up to 50 words	Please describe which commercial sectors could benefit from your output.	Please describe what impact (positive or negative) your output has on the environment	Please describe how your output could influence policy making?	Does this output have impacts in other domains (Education, scientific community, other sectors outside aquaculture...)? If so, what?	If YES: please provide details/links where the output may be found If No, please explain why - because patented, planning to licence,...?	If Yes, please describe e.g. Training, workshops, dissemination, publications... If No, please explain E.g. No budget available, no time, not my job....
	Best Management Protocol for controlling malformations	Guidelines		A set of guidelines on how to prevent malformations in commercial production based on new knowledge	It reduces malformations resulting in increase in profitability of hatcheries	reduced energy costs, increased efficiency.....	Improvement in animal welfare	Education/training of hatchery staff; NGO perception of sector	www.sitename.com available as a PDF download	Yes, 3 training courses, manuals sent to 23 hatcheries across Europe
1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										

Please insert more rows, if required

Knowledge types and definitions

RTD protocol	predefined written procedural method in the design and implementation of experiments
Technical handbook/manual	a technical communication document intended to give assistance to people using a particular tool or system
Book/review	a book, chapter or scientific review to update (general) knowledge in a specific area
Case studies	specific studies that show use/application/cost - benefit of new technology or methodology
Prototype	a prototype or pilot product or piece of equipment for use by scientific community or industry
Product	a "finished" product/piece of equipment for use by industry
Standards	development of/contribution to an explicit set of requirements for an item, material, component, system or service
Guidelines	a document that aims to streamline particular processes according to a set routine
Learning module	specifically for educational purposes - teaching material, online/distance learning, training course...
Software	a programme designed to accomplish a specific task, for example in modelling or for management
Database/directory	information or data for multiple users/uses
Other	Please describe

Part 2 Coordinators Perception

Please add your answers here:

Were there any limiting factors that prevented you from achieving the intended impact of the project as a whole and as specified in the original Description of Work?	e.g. Methodology of project did not work, no budget for dissemination, lack of time for X activities etc.	
Has there been any continuation of the work since the project funding ended?	Yes or No e.g. New EC project, national work, commercialisation.	
Do you still collaborate with any of the consortium from the past project? If so, how? If no, why not?	e.g. YES: Keep in contact, working on other projects NO: no funding, did not work out....	
Related to your project subject area, do you feel there is a need to do more work in the area? If so, please specify and justify the impact it would have?	Please specify as much as possible as your feedback will be fed to the relevant thematic areas of the technology platform to inform the formulation of a Strategic Research Agenda for the sector. The TP will be a major influencer in future funding available for Aquaculture Research.	